

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,131	0:	9/27/2000	Gary S. Kitten	M-8847 US	7081
7590 08/04/2004				EXAMINER	
David L McC		_	LEE, CHRISTOPHER E		
Haynes And Boone LLP 901 Main Street				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 3100			2112		
Dallas, TX 75202-3789				DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· •									
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
4 6	Advisory Action	09/672,131	KITTEN ET AL.						
		Examiner	Art Unit						
		Christopher E. Lee	2112						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 01 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.									
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]									
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.									
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:									
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);									
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);									
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or									
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.									
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .									
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
4	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 									
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an					
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
	Claim(s) allowed: none.								
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .								
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-10 and 13-15</u> .								
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .								
8.	3. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)									
10.	Other:	Q/ 11/							

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Glenn A. Auve
Primary Patent Examiner cel/ CEC
Technology Center 2100

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In response to the Applicant's argument with respect to "The references fail to teach or suggest the virtual configuration system and method defined by the claims and described in the description. Specifically, there is no teaching of a transistor coupled to the first and second connectors and to ground, ... the disable device and the circuit element are coupled between the first and second I/O connectors. ..." on the Response page 10, lines 13-25, the Applicants argue with the amended claims' issue (See the amended claims 1 and 8 on the Amendment pages 4 and 6, respectively), which needs further consideration because the amended claims extend the scope of the claimed invention. Thus, the Applicants' arguments on this point are not persuasive.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to the Applicants' arguments regarding to the prior art rejection, the arguments are drawn to limitations which have not been entered for consideration.